

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/780,987	02/09/2001		Sun Ming Lieu	020004-000720US	2255	
20350	7590	03/19/2004		EXAMINER		
		TOWNSEND AT	JAKETIC,	JAKETIC, BRYAN J		
EIGHTH FL		CO CEIVIER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRAN	CISCO, C	CA 94111-3834	3627			

DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\Delta J$				
	Application No.	Apartament(s)					
•	09/780,987	LIEU ET AL.					
"Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Bryan Jaketic	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Conference of the second of th	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered time  NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 January 2004.						
	This action is non-final.						
•							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.10-13.22.30.39.48-50 and 59-4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.10-13.22.30.39.48-50 and 59-7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and second seco	thdrawn from consideration.  94 is/are rejected.	ation.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐							
Applicant may not request that any objection t			ED 1 121/d)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the case 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in a e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/780,987

Art'Unit: 3627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 10-13, 22, 30, 39, 48-50, and 59-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Treyz et al in view of Allard et al. Treyz et al teach a computer-implemented method of facilitating shopping for a project comprising the steps of storing project identifiers and receiving information identifying a first project (see Fig. 92) and determining and outputting a plurality of purchasable units for the first project based on project identifiers, each purchasable unit having an amount (see Fig. 92 the 16 oz. Package of spaghetti, the green pepper, and the onions are all purchasable units, and an associated quantity is listed).

Treyz et al do not teach the step of determining a price and a brand name for each purchasable unit. Allard et al teach a method of building a shopping list, wherein a generic product is displayed, along with a selection of brand names and prices (see col. 4, line 55 through col. 5, line 28 and col. 6, lines 11-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Allard with the invention of Treyz et al to show available brand names and prices of each item type, so that a customer may select the unit she prefers.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,987

Art Unit: 3627

Treyz et al further teach a first and second computer system for performing the method and a web page (see col. 9, line 56 through col. 10, line 8). It is inherent that Treyz et al employ a computer program and computer code.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 10-13, 22, 30, 39, and 48-50 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nakamura et al and Tavor et al disclose the listing of items by brand name.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/780,987

Art'Unit: 3627

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan Jaketic whose telephone number is (703) 308-0134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703)308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Man / Mill

bi